# Top considerations for addressing risks in the OWASP Top 10 for LLMs

01

### **Prompt injection**

Prompt injection (similar to <u>SQL injection</u>) is the manipulation of an LLM by providing inputs designed to trick the LLM into performing tasks it shouldn't be allowed to do.

- · Educate your teams on prompt injection, and consider gamifying the learning with a tool like **Gandalf** from **Lakera**.
- · Use the principles of least priviledge between your LLM and your data/functionality.
- · Limit the sensitive data your LLM has access to.
- Treat your LLM like a user data and sanitize actions and responses.
- · Use function calling where possible to avoid unstructured data which might change the context for the LLM/desired behavior

02

### Insecure output handling

Avoid your LLM directly accesses sensitive data, or to executing functions without validation.

- Treat your LLM like your user data and be careful of direct access between your LLM and data.
- · Adhere to the rules of least privilege.
- · Don't allow your LLM to be able to execute dangerous functions when it shouldn't need to.

03

# Training data poisoning

This is the manipulation of training data or fine tuning processes to make the LLM output less secure, more biased, less effective, or less performant.

- · Validate/verify data sources.
- · Use sandboxing of data sources, so external sources can't be added easily.
- · Perform attestation/signing of data.

#### **Model Denial of Service**

MDoS (similar to ReDoS) occurs when malicious users provide input/ prompts to an LLM that consume significant system resources to create a denial of service for the request or wider system.

- · Consider LLM frameworks, such as LangChain that restrict number of steps in input evaluation.
- · Consider following LLM architecture references from vendors and software architecture best practices such as circuit breakers.
- Typical DoS advice still apply: sanitization and validation of input, rate limiting calls, etc.

05

#### Supply chain vulnerabilities

Vulnerabilities can exist in the training or tuning data (similar to vulnerable components in apps) that was taken from third-party sources - making them part of the software supply chain.

- · List the data source dependencies you're using for the training/tuning of your LLM.
- · Use attestation/signing techniques to validate data you use.
- Responsibly evaluate traditional security risks of malicious and typosquatting libs related to AI and LLMs devtools SDKs.

06

#### Sensitive information disclosure

This occurs when an LLM discloses sensitive and confidential data back to the user in an unauthorized manner.

- Don't give your LLM more data than it needs to do it's job.
- · Add input and output guard checks around interactions to sanitize input from users and output from LLM.

07

## Insecure plugin design

Homegrown LLM plugins that are triggered by the LLM can be open to the risk of malicious input from the LLM.

- · Treat LLM output similar to how you treat user input.
- · Use various param input into plugins vs. single text queries.
- · Think about plugin interactions similar to an API contract and follow OWASP Top 10 API Security Risks best practices.

**Excessive agency** 

An LLM with excessive agency may choose to invoke particular functionality in a malicious or unexpected way causing unexpected results.

Three types of excessive agency include:

- Excessive Functionality: Insufficient functionality granularity in plugins can lead to LLM applications taking actions that are too broad.
- Excessive Permissions: An LLM plugin provides more access/permissions than required.
- · Excessive Autonomy: An LLM application automatically taking dangerous actions without user interaction.

**Overreliance** 

Overreliance occurs when a user incorrectly assumes that generated code (for example) are correct, secure, legal etc.

- · Treat generated code, like junior developer code: validate, test, correct.
- Use tools like <u>Snyk Code</u> to <u>automate AI generated code</u> security testing and fix first party code in the IDE where code is generated, as well as Snyk Open Source to test Al suggested open source library usage.
- · Educate teams about hallucinations and disinformation by from generative AI.

09

#### **Model theft**

Protect your digital IP from an an attacker trying to gain unauthorized access to your LLM by using existing best practices like RBAC and more.

Mitigate Al-generated code security risks with Snyk.

Learn more



# **Read the full OWASP Top 10 for LLMs**